## EXHIBIT 1

```
IB8QWINc
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      WINKLEVOSS CAPITAL FUND LLC
 4
                     Plaintiff
                                                18 Civ. 8250 (JSR)
5
                 v.
                                                Conference
      CHARLES SHREM
6
 7
      GARNISHEE LOCAL BIT COINS USA, INC.,
      GARNISHEE BITTREX, INC.
8
                     Defendants
9
                                                New York, N.Y.
10
                                                November 8, 2018
                                                11:30 a.m.
11
      Before:
12
                              HON. JED S. RAKOFF
13
                                                District Judge
14
                                 APPEARANCES
15
      THE MEADE FIRM
           Attorneys for Plaintiff
16
      SAM FERGUSON
17
      TYLER MEADE
18
19
      BAKER MARQUART LLP
           Attorneys for Defendant Shrem
20
      BRIAN KLEIN
      TERESA HUGGINS
21
22
      BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES PC
           Attorney for Defendant
     MARC AGNIFILO
23
24
25
```

IB8QWINc

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approximately 5,000 bitcoin. That's all linked to the 5,000 1 bitcoins we know were not his. 2 3 THE COURT: So what I am trying to get a handle on 4 though is -- this is going to be relevant both for this case 5 and for the schedule that I am to set up for payment of the forfeiture. 6 7 MR. MEADE: Sure. 8 THE COURT: So his current assets are real estate in 9 Florida, Yes? 10 MR. KLEIN: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Including not just his home but other real 12 estate? 13 MR. KLEIN: Investment properties I understand, your 14 Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. And they would not be subject to the Florida attachment, the Florida Homestead Law. The home is, but not the others.

Cryptocurrency of one sort or another. Yes?

MR. KLEIN: Very minimal cryptocurrency, your Honor.

THE COURT: What other assets does he have?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  KLEIN: He has about \$2 million in cash in his bank account, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. KLEIN: We would say this dispute is not about \$30 million. It's about what they claim is an unaccounted for

IB8QWINc

\$61,000. They have no proof and they put no proof in this record, and there will be no proof that he went out and purchased any bitcoin with that money. So we will put \$61,000 in escrow, your Honor, to cover that. Mr. Shrem was willing to do that. That is what this is basically about. But to go out and get an order that he is basically subjected to \$30 million in a preattachment order based on a false premise of these 5,000 bitcoins, your Honor, that should be struck. That should be dissolved. And, frankly, he should get attorney's fees going through all this effort. I recognize that's a big ask, but we do feel strongly about that.

THE COURT: Well, if and when that is ripe, you will take up that, but certainly not right at the moment.

Let me hear from plaintiff's counsel.

MR. MEADE: Yes, your Honor.

So we alleged that Mr. Shrem took \$61,000 and purchased bitcoin. Why do we think he purchased bitcoin? Well, he is very involved in the Crypto space. By his own admission repeatedly, he has extensive holdings in Crypto. It's a logical inference.

Now, we did make allegations about a \$5,000 transfer that ultimately landed most of it in coin base and Zappo. I will accept for purposes of today's hearing that they've made a showing that that is probably Mr. Shrem's bitcoin. I do want to check on that later. But we still sit here years later, and

IB8QWINc

I'm going to make a decision on the attachment by no later than 5:00 p.m. tomorrow. It remains in place till then, although I think we're talking about \$10 if I understand the reality it.

MR. KLEIN: Your Honor, I just want to make two last points.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. KLEIN: I think what they're doing is burden shifting. The burden is on them. This is a really harsh remedy. That's what the case law says. You have discretion, but it is an incredibly harsh remedy and the burden is on them. What you just heard from plaintiff's counsel is speculation. We believe he took 61,000 of our money, and we believe he should have purchased it because he's a bitcoin guy.

The only evidence they've offered so far we've indisputably proven wrong. This case is about \$61,000. Again,

Mr. Shrem will put up \$61,000.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to take you up on that part independent of where I come out on the attachment. So you should place \$61,000 in escrow with the Clerk of the Court and send me a proposed order to that effect, and I'll either sign it or play with it if it's not the right language.

MR. KLEIN: Yes, sir.

MR. MEADE: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MEADE: If I may briefly, your Honor, when we get